

**Objectors 1 & 2**

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2016 2:16 PM  
To: Regen, Licensing  
Subject: OBJECTION: Premises application 854904, 46 Camberwell Church Street

Dear Southwark Licensing

My family and I live near the [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

We are writing to object to the premises licence being applied for the above named location (apparently to be known as I Go Chop).

As you will be aware the premises was previously a barber shop so granting of a new licence will increase the number of late night refreshment/alcohol sales in an area of Southwark that is within the saturation policy.

We are certainly concerned that another late night snack shop (with alcohol) will further add to nuisance of late night (likely to be intoxicated) patrons who often seem to wander up Camberwell Grove in the wee hours of the morning or draw others that leave the pubs to stay on even later in this ever growing residential area of Southwark.

We are especially concerned that the application is calling for much longer hours than the other late night snack shops on Camberwell Church Street, in the Brunswick Park Ward - it even includes a request for 24 hour opening hours.

While we think that the application should be dismissed on the basis of the saturation policy, we would ask that if any type of licence is to go forward, it should be limited (at a maximum) to hours of premises on Camberwell Church Street near the junction with Camberwell Grove - such as FM Mangal, Morleys, Oregano Pizza and KFC.

Many thanks for your consideration.

With kind regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**Reply from objectors 1 & 2 to the mediation letter:**

**From:**

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2016 5:41 PM

**To:** McArthur, Wesley

**Subject:** Re: Application for a premises licence: I Go Chop, 46 Camberwell Church Street, Camberwell, London, SE5 8QZ (our ref: L1U 854904)

Many thanks

On first read I am not willing to withdraw my representation

It isn't only the late-ish alcohol, it is also the late night refreshment being effectively all night

My best

### **Objector 3**

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, August 15, 2016 4:52 PM  
**To:** Regen, Licensing  
**Subject:** Premises Application 854 904, 46 Camberwell Church Street

To: The Licensing Service

15 August 2016

Dear Sir/Madam

I object most strongly to the issuing of a late licence to premises at 46 Camberwell Church Street, particularly one that extends to 24 hours.

For many years residents of Datchelor Place and the northern end of Camberwell Grove have suffered from the excesses of badly behaved patrons of late night drinking establishments.

Over the years we have been subjected to noise of every conceivable kind, plus urination, fights, impromptu barbecues, even gun shots, from three particular establishments in succession, namely [REDACTED].

Furthermore, these disturbances continued until 6am seven days a week. No joke, especially if you have children or have to get up in the morning to go to work.

I anticipate that patrons of 46 Camberwell Church Street in need of a smoke, toilet or fresh air would naturally gravitate to Camberwell Grove or the newly pedestrianised space of Datchelor Place. We know from past experience where this would lead – same old problems. It doesn't bear thinking about. Please don't let it happen!

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

August 22<sup>nd</sup> 2016

Attention Ms. Suzanne Bray,  
Administrative Officer,  
Licensing/EPU/SASBU  
Third Floor Hub 1,  
160 Tooley Street, LONDON SE1 2QH

Dear Ms. Bray,

**Premises Application 854904 46 Camberwell Church Street, London SE5 8QZ**

This is to object most strongly to the premises application which was posted on July 26<sup>th</sup> on the former shop at the above address. I believe it was formerly a hairdressing business.

The application is for alcohol variously from 00.30 or 23.00 for late night entertainment up to 0500 hrs and apparently for 24 hour opening.

Such a use for these premises in a residential area is not only entirely unsuitable but comes for an area which has been plagued with very serious late-night problems produced by nearby late night bars, notably from the former [REDACTED]. The problems of the latter continued unabated for some thirteen years in spite of regular objections.

46 Camberwell Church Street is within the area of the Camberwell Saturation policy. The area is already well served by licensed premises and there is no need whatever for any addition to those existing.

I live with my husband nearby and our sleep would be disturbed by such late-night activity. Would you kindly register my objection to this application?

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

## Reply from objectors 4 & 5 to the mediation letter

-----Original Message-----

From:

Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 9:41 AM

To: McArthur, Wesley

Cc:

Subject: licensing application 46 Camberwell Church Street

Dear Wesley McArthur

As one of the objectors (both for the Camberwell Society and ourselves) to the above application, I am writing to say that I have received the detailed papers in regard to the proposed meeting on 30th September. Thank you for these.

I remain very concerned about the situation but cannot attend the meeting which has been called at too short notice (only about two weeks) and it should if possible be at a time when working people have a chance of attending, which they can hardly do at 10.00am on a weekday. I recognise that this is difficult to arrange but it would certainly help if the meeting could be postponed by say two or three weeks.

You are aware that the premises lie within a residential area with real past problems concerning particularly the [REDACTED] and its predecessors. It took no less than thirteen years of protestation at aggravated public nuisance before the [REDACTED] finally lost its licence. Local residents are not likely to forget this and prospective purchasers of houses in the area are, we find, most concerned about the historical public nuisance from which residents have suffered.

The application in question raises a number of inconsistencies and curiosities which leads one to suspect that the real purpose may be to introduce a bar with late night activity under the guise of a food take-away. Firstly, why is an entertainment licence needed for the management of an apparent food take-away business? Secondly why should a food take-away business need CCTV cameras and coordination with the police? There is a statement from CPL Training that the sale of hot food and hot drinks is a licensable activity between 23.00 hours and 05.00 hours. I find it difficult to understand how this can be the case, since we are considering the alcohol licensing regulations which hardly apply to hot drinks.

You have indicated to me that the premises do not fall within the Camberwell Saturation Policy because it is a food take-away. This argument seems implausible in view of the availability of alcohol and I regard the Saturation Policy requirements as being palpably applicable

Yours sincerely